

August 6, 2002

TO: Shari Howell

FROM: Howard W. Bell, Jr.

RE: Interview notes

The following are excerpts from the notes that I took during our telephone interview in May. I have incorporated these excerpts in a draft Best Practices report that I am writing. Please verify that the following excerpts capture the essence of your practices at Penn State University.

Shari Howell and her staff at Penn State University:¹

- 1) Said that the logistic regression model used by Penn State University:
 - a) Contains the following ten (10) variables:
 - i) Number in college
 - ii) Cost of attendance
 - iii) Ethnicity
 - iv) Total income
 - v) Need (Cost of a Penn State education minus the applicant's EFC)
 - vi) Total Pell
 - vii) 3 institutional specific aid measures
 - viii) College work-study.
 - b) Reduces overawards in the 600 person sample population that they selected for comparative purposes by 87.8% while the CPS edits only reduced it by 35.4%. The same logistic regression model reduced underawards by 42.2% versus 32.6% for the CPS edits. In addition, Penn State University stated that they attained these results even though they only verified 10% to 15% of the applicant pool versus the 30% verification requirement for the CPS edits.
- 2) Stated that the:
 - a) Verification of the number of dependents in an applicant's parents' household enrolled in a college or university is done on a self-reporting basis.
 - a) University uses its Web site to provide students with answers to Frequently Asked Questions and alerts about the Pell Grant program.
 - b) University urges students to file their FAFSA electronically.
- 3) Said that:
 - a) Estimated tax filers have a high error rate.

¹ Information identified in a May 6, 2002 telephone discussion with Shari Howell of Penn State University.

- b) Reporting of taxes paid is a source of error for a number of applicants.
 - c) Taxes paid versus taxes withheld are sometimes misreported.
- 4) Expressed a desire for more interaction amongst the QA schools.